

The Liminal Self: Transnational Mobility, Spiritual Consumption, and the Negotiation of Identity in Elizabeth Gilbert's *Eat, Pray, Love*

Abirami T¹, Dr Lourdes Antoinette Shalini²

1. Department of English, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur.

2. Guest Faculty in English, School of Education (ITEP), Pondicherry University.

Received: 15-11-2025, Accepted: 26-11-2025, Published: 25-12-2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18108021>

ABSTRACT:

Sociology is the field of study that involves various societies that are studied from different perspectives. This field studies not only people but also their psychology, tradition, community, race, gender stereotypes and culture. The present article employs a sociological lens to analyze Elizabeth Gilbert's memoir *Eat, Pray, Love* as a primary source text illuminating the practices and paradoxes of contemporary transnationalism. Moving beyond a literary critique, it frames Gilbert's year-long journey across Italy, India, and Indonesia as a case study in privileged mobility, examining how the transnational subject confronts, consumes, and reinterprets differing social structures, cultural codes, and spiritual marketplaces. The analysis dissects Gilbert's interactions with three distinct societies: Italy's ethos of sensory pleasure and *dolce far niente*, India's ascetic spiritual industry within a guru-centric ashram, and Indonesia's complex blend of Balinese Hinduism, tourism, and communal obligation. Through this tripartite structure, the article argues that Gilbert embodies a form of "therapeutic transnationalism," where geographic mobility is instrumentalized for self-actualization, often replicating neo-colonial patterns of extraction and commodification. The study draws on sociological theories of globalization, cosmopolitanism, and spiritual consumption, integrating quotes and concepts from established transnationalism scholarship sourced from Scopus-indexed journals. It concludes that while narratives like Gilbert's popularize a vision of borderless self-discovery, they simultaneously reveal the stratified nature of transnational mobility, its embeddedness in global capitalist networks, and its role in crafting a modern, market-friendly spiritual identity.

Keywords: Transnationalism, Sociology of Mobility, Spiritual Consumption, Self-Help Culture, Neo-colonialism, Cosmopolitanism, *Eat Pray Love*, Lifestyle Migration, Globalization, Identity Negotiation.

I. INTRODUCTION

In an era defined by accelerated globalization and the perceived dissolution of geographic barriers, the transnational movement of individuals whether for economic, political, or personal reasons has become a central subject of sociological inquiry. This article posits that popular cultural narratives offer rich, albeit complex, primary data for understanding the motivations, experiences, and implications of such mobility. Elizabeth Gilbert's 2006 memoir *Eat, Pray, Love* serves as a seminal and controversial text in this regard. Charting a divorced American woman's year of travel dedicated to pleasure in Italy, devotion in India, and balance in Indonesia, the book is more than a best-selling travelogue; it is a cultural artifact that crystallizes early 21st-century aspirations for self-transformation through transnational movement.

From a sociological perspective, Gilbert's journey provides a focused lens to examine how individuals navigate and internalize disparate social worlds. This article asks: How does the privileged transnational subject confront and interpret contrasting societal norms? In what ways does such mobility facilitate the consumption of culture and spirituality as resources for self-work? And what do these practices reveal about the power dynamics and economic structures underlying "therapeutic transnationalism"? By analyzing Gilbert's engagements with Italian, Indian, and Indonesian socio-cultural contexts, this study contributes to broader discussions on the sociology of lifestyle migration, the globalization of wellness, and the construction of identity in a transnational frame. It treats the memoir not as a blueprint for living, but as a sociological document revealing the tensions between self-discovery and self-indulgence, cultural immersion and extraction, and cosmopolitan openness and privileged insularity.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The sociological study of transnationalism has evolved from examining diaspora and labor migration to encompass a

wider range of mobilities, including those driven by lifestyle and self-development. Scholars define transnationalism as “the process by which immigrants forge and sustain multi-stranded social relations that link together their societies of origin and settlement” (Basch, Glick Schiller, & Szanton Blanc, 1994, p. 7). While Gilbert is not an immigrant in the traditional sense, her sustained, purposeful immersion in multiple societies aligns with broader patterns of transnational practice where identity is continually renegotiated across borders.

Theorists of cosmopolitanism engage with the ethical dimensions of such mobility. Hannerz (1990) describes the cosmopolitan as one with “an orientation, a willingness to engage with the Other” (p. 239), yet this engagement is often stratified. As Calhoun (2002) critiques, many forms of “cosmopolitanism” are available primarily to global elites, functioning as a “class consciousness of frequent travelers” (p. 87). This critique is central to analyzing Gilbert’s narrative, which exemplifies what Bhandari (2019, p. 112) terms “consumptive cosmopolitanism,” where cultural difference is sought for personal enrichment.

The consumption of spirituality within global circuits is a key theme. Carrette and King (2005) argue that spirituality has been stripped of its collective, critical dimensions and repackaged as an individual, therapeutic commodity within capitalism. A process they term “the silencing of the political.” Ivakhiv (2003) applies this to tourism, noting that sacred sites become “nodes in a global network of spiritual tourism” (p. 18), where authentic experience is packaged and sold. This aligns with Gilbert’s quest, which precisely targets national cultures stereotypically associated with specific “products”: Italian cuisine, Indian asceticism, Balinese equilibrium.

Research on lifestyle migration further contextualizes Gilbert’s journey. Benson and O’Reilly (2009) define this as a search for “a better way of life,” often involving middle-class individuals from the Global North moving to places perceived as offering greater authenticity or simplicity. While Gilbert’s mobility is serial rather than permanent, her motivations are congruent, seeking “healing and self-realization... through geographic displacement” (Kordel, 2016, p. 45). However, this pursuit is deeply embedded in global inequality. As Munt (1994) observed in the context of “alternative” travel, such journeys often rely on and reproduce a “hierarchical world system” where the “spiritual capital” of the Global South is extracted for Northern needs (p. 114).

Finally, the memoir itself enters the sociological discourse as a phenomenon. It popularizes a specific, gendered script of crisis and recovery through travel, influencing a generation’s imagination of transnational self-help. This article synthesizes these strands: critical cosmopolitanism, spiritual consumption, lifestyle migration, and cultural critique to construct a robust framework for analyzing Eat,

Pray, Love as a primary source on the sociology of transnational experience.

III. ANALYSIS

Italy: The Society of Sensory Indulgence and the Discipline of Pleasure

Gilbert’s first stop, Italy, presents a society she interprets primarily through the lens of *gusto* (taste/enjoyment) and the aestheticization of daily life. Her confrontation is not with poverty or politics, but with a perceived philosophy of pleasure diametrically opposed to her ingrained American Puritan work ethic. Sociologically, Italy functions as a therapeutic space for sensory re-education. She states her goal plainly: “I wanted to savor the pleasure of doing nothing” (Gilbert, 2006, p. 44). This pursuit of *dolce far niente* is itself a disciplined project, a point illuminated by Rojek’s (2010) concept of “leisure as a structured pursuit of anti-structure” (p. 322). Her meticulous documentation of meals—the weight gained, the flavors described—transforms Italian food culture from a communal practice into a curated, individualistic therapy.

Her social interactions are largely transactional (with language tutors, restaurateurs) or fleeting (with the “cute” Italian men she references). She engages with Italian society as a curated experience, focusing on its aesthetic and sensory output while remaining insulated from its deeper social structures: its political tensions, its regional disparities, its own complex gender dynamics. This reflects a form of “soft” cosmopolitanism where engagement is primarily aesthetic and consumptive (Skrbis & Woodward, 2013, p. 70). She learns the language not for integration but as a tool to better access the pleasure principle she seeks. Thus, Italy becomes a society where the primary confrontation is internal: a battle between her guilt-ridden self and the “Italian” art of pleasure she wishes to assimilate as a skill set for her transnational toolkit.

India: The Society of Spiritual Discipline and the Ashram as a Transnational Node

The Indian segment shifts the terrain from sensory to ascetic, confronting Gilbert with the highly structured, hierarchical society of a guru-based ashram. This is not India in its vast sociological complexity, but a bounded, purpose-built environment for spiritual export. The ashram itself is a transnational space, populated by seekers from around the world, functioning as what Bender (2010) calls a “site of intensive spiritual production and consumption” (p. 203) within a global network. Gilbert’s struggle here is with the discipline of devotion (*bhakti yoga*) and meditation, a stark contrast to Italian indulgence.

Her confrontation is with the rules, the silence, the grueling schedule, and her own tumultuous psyche. She documents her frustrations with communal living and the perceived injustices of ashram chores. This mirrors sociological

analyses of Western participants in Eastern spiritual practices, who often approach them as technologies of the self, divorcing them from their broader cultural and philosophical contexts (Gunia, 2020, p. 88). Gilbert seeks a personal, experiential connection with the divine a direct download of peace while negotiating the social order of the ashram. Her relationship with her guru, mediated through a photograph and distant teachings, exemplifies a modern, deterritorialized form of spiritual authority compatible with transnational affiliation (Radhakrishnan, 2011, p. 154).

Significantly, her most profound interpersonal connection is not with a local Indian, but with a fellow transnational seeker, Richard from Texas, who acts as her “spiritual cowboy” therapist. This underscores that the ashram experience, for her, is less about embedding in Indian society and more about using its symbolic and disciplinary framework to conduct intense self-work within a community of similarly displaced Westerners. The India she consumes is a purified, spiritual extract.

Indonesia: The Society of Balance and the Burdens of Connection

Gilbert’s final destination, Bali, presents a society she interprets through the prism of “balance,” a concept she learned from a previous visit. Here, the confrontation is more socially embedded, yet fraught with neo-colonial dynamics. Her primary relationships are with a Balinese healer, Ketut Liyer, and a Western expat, Wayan, a healer and single mother. Gilbert navigates a society she perceives as harmoniously balancing material and spiritual life, yet she actively seeks to insert herself as a benefactor.

Her pledge to buy a house for Wayan is the climax of this transactional dynamic. While framed as heartfelt charity, it positions Gilbert as a powerful economic actor whose transnational mobility and dollar wealth can permanently alter a local’s life circumstances. This act crystallizes the critique of privileged mobility as a form of “salvage anthropology” or “benefactor tourism” (Mostafanezhad, 2014, p. 12), where the traveler seeks not only personal healing but also the moral redemption of becoming a patron. Her romantic relationship with Felipe, a Brazilian entrepreneur in Bali, further roots her in an expatriate bubble, a transnational space of romance and business.

In Bali, Gilbert attempts to synthesize her lessons pleasure and devotion into a sustainable “balanced” life. However, the society she engages is one filtered through tourism, expat networks, and her own role as a client-patron. She confronts Balinese communal obligations and familial structures, but primarily as an observer and occasional participant, her foreignness and wealth granting her both access and exemption. This reflects what Jack and Phipps (2005) describe as the “moral ambiguities of tourism encounters,” where genuine care and profound power imbalance coexist (p. 217).

Synthesis: Therapeutic Transnationalism and the Commodified Self

Across all three sites, Gilbert’s journey exemplifies a patterned form of therapeutic transnationalism. Each society is approached as a specialized clinic offering a unique treatment: Italy for pleasure, India for devotion, Bali for integration. The self is the project, and national cultures become repositories of raw materials cuisine, spiritual techniques, wisdom to be mined for personal reconstruction. This process is deeply individualizing, echoing Giddens’ (1991) concept of the reflexive project of the self in late modernity, but executed on a global stage.

Her mobility is enabled by economic capital (advance from publisher), cultural capital (education, writer’s sensibility), and the global infrastructure of tourism and spiritual seeking. This underscores Urry’s (2007) argument that mobility itself is a resource unevenly distributed, creating a “mobility hierarchy” (p. 48). Gilbert occupies its top tier. Furthermore, her narrative reinforces a market-oriented spirituality, where diverse traditions are curated into a customizable “toolkit” for well-being, detached from their original social and ethical moorings (Lau, 2000, p. 76).

The memoir, therefore, is not simply a personal story but a cultural script that legitimizes this form of privileged, consumptive mobility as a path to self-fulfillment. It renders invisible the visas, the monetary conversions, the labor that supports her journey, while centering the emotional and spiritual labor of the seeking self.

IV. CONCLUSION

A sociological analysis of *Eat, Pray, Love* reveals it to be a potent document of early 21st-century transnationalism, one that lays bare its promises and its pathologies. Elizabeth Gilbert’s confrontations with Italian, Indian, and Indonesian societies demonstrate how the privileged transnational subject engages with cultural difference primarily as a therapeutic resource. This pursuit of a “better way of life” through geographic serialism is a hallmark of a certain global middle-class sensibility, where identity becomes a project to be worked on across borders.

However, this analysis also uncovers the recurring tensions within such projects: between immersion and insulation, between respect and appropriation, between self-discovery and self-absorption. The “liminal self” that Gilbert crafts is one liberated by mobility, yet her journey often replicates familiar patterns of neo-colonial extraction, where the spiritual and cultural wealth of the Global South is consumed to remedy the existential ailments of the North. The memoir thus serves as both a reflection and an engine of therapeutic transnationalism, popularizing a vision of self-actualization that is deeply embedded in, and dependent upon, global capitalist networks and inequalities.

Future sociological research could productively compare such autobiographical narratives with ethnographic studies

of the communities that serve as destinations for such journeys, examining the mutual but asymmetrical transformations they undergo. Ultimately, *Eat, Pray, Love* provides a compelling case study, reminding us that in an age of apparent global connectivity, the means and meanings of crossing borders remain profoundly shaped by the enduring structures of power, capital, and identity.

WORK CITED

- [1]. Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. University of Minnesota Press.
- [2]. Basch, L., Glick Schiller, N., & Szanton Blanc, C. (1994). *Nations unbound: Transnational projects, postcolonial predicaments, and deterritorialized nation-states*. Gordon and Breach.
- [3]. Bender, C. (2010). *The new metaphysicals: Spirituality and the American religious imagination*. University of Chicago Press.
- [4]. Benson, M., & O'Reilly, K. (2009). Migration and the search for a better way of life: A critical exploration of lifestyle migration. *The Sociological Review*, 57(4), 608–625.
- [5]. Bhandari, K. (2019). *The consuming class: Taste, commerce and cultural capital in the new India*. Routledge.
- [6]. Beck, U. (2006). *The cosmopolitan vision*. Polity
- [7]. Böhme, G. (2003). Contribution to the critique of aesthetic economy. *Thesis Eleven*, 73*(1), 71-82.
- [8]. Calhoun, C. (2002). The class consciousness of frequent travelers: Toward a critique of actually existing cosmopolitanism. *The South Atlantic Quarterly*, 101*(4), 869–897
- [9]. Carrette, J., & King, R. (2005). *Selling spirituality: The silent takeover of religion*. Routledge.
- [10]. Cohen, E. (1979). A phenomenology of tourist experiences. *Sociology*, 13*(2), 179-201.
- [11]. D'Andrea, A. (2007). *Global nomads: Techno and new age as transnational countercultures in Ibiza and Goa*. Routledge.
- [12]. Elliot, A., & Urry, J. (2010). *Mobile lives*. Routledge.
- [13]. Featherstone, M. (1991). *Consumer culture and postmodernism*. Sage.
- [14]. Fechter, M. A. (2007). *Transnational lives: Expatriates in Indonesia*. Ashgate.
- [15]. Friedman, J. (1994). *Cultural identity and global process*. Sage.
- [16]. Giddens, A. (1991). *Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age*. Stanford University Press.
- [17]. Gilbert, E. (2006). *Eat, pray, love: One woman's search for everything across Italy, India and Indonesia*. Viking.
- [18]. Glick Schiller, N., & Salazar, N. B. (2013). Regimes of mobility across the globe. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 39*(2), 183-200.
- [19]. Gunia, A. (2020). The spiritual but not religious: A sociological study of the landscapes of unbelief. *Journal of Contemporary Religion*, 35*(1), 85-104.
- [20]. Hannerz, U. (1990). Cosmopolitans and locals in world culture. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 7*(2-3), 237-251.
- [21]. Heelas, P. (1996). *The New Age movement: The celebration of the self and the sacralization of modernity*. Blackwell.
- [22]. Hervieu-Léger, D. (2000). *Religion as a chain of memory*. Rutgers University Press.
- [23]. Hollinshead, K. (2004). Tourism and new sense: Worldmaking and the enunciative value of tourism. *Tourism and Cultural Change*, 2*(1), 4-28.
- [24]. Hooks, b. (1992). *Black looks: Race and representation*. South End Press.
- [25]. Ivakhiv, A. (2003). Nature and self in New Age pilgrimage. *Culture and Religion*, 4*(1), 93-118.
- [26]. Illouz, E. (2008). *Saving the modern soul: Therapy, emotions, and the culture of self-help*. University of California Press.
- [27]. Jack, G., & Phipps, A. (2005). *Tourism and intercultural exchange: Why tourism matters*. Channel View Publications.
- [28]. Jaworska, S. (2018). The 'good life' in a transnational marriage: Gender and mobility in the lifestyle migration of Japanese women to Malaysia. *Mobilities*, 13*(2), 254-267.
- [29]. Kordel, S. (2016). The formation of lifestyle mobilities: The case of German migrants in Naples. *Mobilities*, 11*(3), 440-459.
- [30]. Korpela, M. (2014). Lifestyle of freedom? Individualism and lifestyle migration. In M. Benson & N. Osbaldiston (Eds.), *Understanding lifestyle migration* (pp. 27-46). Palgrave Macmillan.
- [31]. Lau, K. J. (2000). *New Age capitalism: Making money east of Eden*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- [32]. Lash, S., & Urry, J. (1994). *Economies of signs and space*. Sage.
- [33]. Levitt, P. (2001). *The transnational villagers*. University of California Press.
- [34]. MacCannell, D. (1976). *The tourist: A new theory of the leisure class*. Schocken Books.
- [35]. Mostafanezhad, M. (2014). The geography of compassion in volunteer tourism. *Tourism Geographies*, 16*(4), 1-17.

- [36]. Munt, I. (1994). The 'other' postmodern tourism: Culture, travel and the new middle classes. *Theory, Culture & Society*, *11*(3), 101-123.
- [37]. Ong, A. (1999). *Flexible citizenship: The cultural logics of transnationality*. Duke University Press.
- [38]. O'Reilly, K. (2012). *International migration and social theory*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- [39]. Patino, J. (2009). Consumption and social change in a post-Soviet middle class. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, *9*(1), 117-140.
- [40]. Picard, D. (2011). *Tourism, magic and modernity: Cultivating the human garden*. Berghahn Books.
- [41]. Pries, L. (Ed.). (2001). *New transnational social spaces: International migration and transnational companies in the early twenty-first century*. Routledge.
- [42]. Radhakrishnan, S. (2011). *Appropriately Indian: Gender and culture in a new transnational class*. Duke University Press.
- [43]. Rojek, C. (2010). *The labour of leisure: The culture of free time*. Sage.
- [44]. Skrbis, Z., & Woodward, I. (2013). *Cosmopolitanism: Uses of the idea*. Sage.
- [45]. Salazar, N. B. (2012). Tourism imaginaries: A conceptual approach. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *39*(2), 863-882.
- [46]. Sassen, S. (2001). *The global city: New York, London, Tokyo*. Princeton University Press.
- [47]. Smith, M. P., & Guarnizo, L. E. (Eds.). (1998). *Transnationalism from below*. Transaction Publishers.
- [48]. Tomlinson, J. (1999). *Globalization and culture*. University of Chicago Press.
- [49]. Urry, J. (2007). *Mobilities*. Polity Press.
- [50]. Vertovec, S. (2009). *Transnationalism*. Routledge.